## Texas Education Agency 2015-16 Federal Report Card for Texas Public Schools

Campus Name: BRADY H S Campus ID: 160901001 District Name: BRADY ISD

Part I: Student Achievement by Proficiency Level

This section provides the State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR) performance results for each subject area and grade level tested in the 2015-16 school year. These results include all students tested, whether or not they were in the accountability subset.

Reading	All Grades All Subjects	STAAR Percent at Final Level II or Above	Social Studies	Science	Mathematics	Reading	All Grades All Subjects	U.S. History	Biology	Algebra I	English II	End of Course English I	African State District Campus American Hispan STAAR Percent At or Above Level II Satisfactory Standard (2016) or Phase-in 1 Level II (2015)
2016 2015	2016 2015	or Above	2016 2015	2016 2015	2016 2015	2016 2015	2016 2015	2016 2015	2016 2015	2016 2015	2016 2015	2016 2015	el II Satisfac
													ctory Si
42% 40%	42% 38%		76% 74%	77% 75%	75% 73%	72% 74%	74% 73%	90%	86% 88%	76% 77%	69%	63% 66%	State Standard
37% 39%	37% 37%		77% 76%	71% 74%	74% 73%	70% 73%	72% 72%	87% 84%	74% 85%	68% 67%	60%	58% 68%	District (2016)
32% 39%	36% 41%		87% 84%	74% 85%	68% 67%	59% 68%	69% 74%	87% 84%	74% 85%	68% 67%	60% 69%	58% 68%	African District Campus American Hispanic J (2016) or Phase-In 1 Level II (2015)
* *	17% 38%		• •	• •			83% 69%	• 1	• 1	• •		• •	African American 1 1 Level II
26% 30%	29% 36%		86% 78%	71% 85%	61% 61%	50% 66%	62% 71%	86% 78%	71% 85%	61% 61%	53% 68%	47% 65%	Hispanic (2015)
40% 49%	47% 47%		87% 89%	76% 86%	76% 76%	69% 71%	76% 78%	87% 89%	76% 86%	76% 76%	68% 71%	71% 71%	White
			• 15				1 #						American Indian
				٠.			• •		, ,			. ,	Asian
	60		• 6	(F)					1 1	• •			Pacific Islander
٠.	• •			* *	. ,		• •		• • •				Two or More Races
17% 20%	16% 17%		53%	57%	30%	26% 30%	31% 32%	53%	57%	30%	24%	28% 33%	Special Ed
25% 34%	31% 34%		84% 77%	71% 77%	66% 57%	52% 62%	64% 66%	84% 77%	71% 77%	66% 57%	53% 60%	51% 63%	Econ Disadv
* *	0% 12%						25% 29%						E E
44%	40% 45%		81% 88%	76% 90%	70% 76%	71% 81%	74% 83%	81% 88%	76% 90%	70% 76%	76% 80%	65% 82%	Female
25% 31%	34% 38%		91% 80%	72% 81%	56% 59%	52% 58%	65%	91% 80%	72% 81%	59%	50% 61%	54% 55%	Maie
( )			• 6					) (			, ,		Migrant

% STAAR/EOC With No Accommodations % STAAR/EOC With Accommodations % STAAR Atternate2 % of Non-Participants	Reading Tests % of Participants	STAAR Participation Results by Assessment Type for Students Served in Special Education Settings (All Grades)	Social Studies	Science	Mathematics	Reading	All Tests	STAAR Participation (All Grades)	Social Studies	Science	Mathematics	Reading	All Grades All Subjects	STAAR Percent at Level III Advanced	Social Studies	Science	Mathematics
	2016	ment Typ	2016 2015	2016 2015	2016 2015	2016 2015	2016 2015		2016 2015	2016 2015	2016 2015	2016 2015	2016 2015		2016 2015	2016 2015	2016 2015
13% 73% 11% 2%	%86	e for Stud	98% 99%	99%	100% 99%	%ee	99%		21% 18%	15%	17% 14%	16% 15%	17% 14%		45% 41%	44% 40%	State 1 40% 36%
10% 74% 13% 3%	97%	dents Ser	100% 99%	99%	100% 99%	98%	99%		13% 9%	8% 10%	14% 14%	14% 14%	13% 12%		43% 36%	36% 42%	District ( 36% 35%
9% 77% 13% 2%	98%	ved in Spi	99%	97% 97%	100% 98%	97% 96%	98% 97%		9% 9%	4%	13% 19%	4% 3%	7% 7%		49% 43%	39% 45%	African Campus American Hispanic 31% 25% 40% 32%
		ecial Educ	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%		• 1	-9	<b>»</b> •		0% 13%		* 1	* 1	African \merican
92% 4%	% <del>9</del> 6	ation Set	98% 98%	96% 95%	100% 98%	98% 95%	98%		2% 2%	2 4 %	6% 14%	3% 2%	5% 4%		39% 47%	29% 42%	Hispanic 25% 32%
19% 57% 24%	100%	tings (All	100% 100%	97% 100%	100% 98%	98% 98%	98%		10% 16%	8 %	24% 24%	51 S	10% 11%		58% 39%	53% 49%	White 41% 51%
	r.	Grades)		٠.		٠.			1.3		٠.	٠.	٠.			9 •	American Indian
	·					<i>y</i> = 1			63	63		24-10	10.1		3.34	n e	Asian
	e								• •						٠.		Pacific Islander
			100%	100%		100%	100%			• •		•	• •		• •	• 68	Two or More Races
9% 77% 13% 2%	98%		100% 93%	94% 100%	100% 100%	98% 91%	98%		5%	0% •	7%	11% 10%	10% 6%		12%	14%	Special Ed 19%
4% 85% 8%	96%		98% 96%	96%	100% 97%	97% 95%	98% 98%		5% 5%	2% 2%	10% 10%	2% 2%	5% 4%		51% 39%	29% 32%	Econ Disadv 30% 31%
	i.		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%		* *	• •	• •	• •	0%		• •	* *	* * [
0% 70% 30%	100%		100% 98%	94% 100%	100% 98%	95% 97%	97% 98%		6% 6%	6 %	19% 27%	3% 3%	8%		43%	33% 49%	Female 33% 45%
11% 78% 8% 3%	97%		98%	98% 95%	100% 98%	98%	96% %66		12% 11%	3 <b>4</b> %	9% 12%	4% 4%	6 G % %		54% 54%	43% 41%	Male 29% 36%
1.1.1			1.1		5 X	1.7	1 1		va	10	3.1				1.1	3 E	Migrant

Mathematics Tests

% of Non-Participants	% STAAR Alternate2	% STAAR/EOC With Accommodations	Accommodations	% of Participants % STAAR/EOC With No
2016	2016	2016	2016	2016
1%	12%	75%	12%	%66
2%	13%	76%	9%	%86
%	11%	81%	7%	100%
•	•			•
0%	6%	88%	6% 8	100%
0%	20%	70%	10%	100%
•	,	,	•	•
•	,	,	•	•
,	1		•	•
•	•	•	,	•
%	11%	81%	7%	100%
%	7%	80%	13%	100%
•	•	•	•	•
%	29%	71%	%0	100%
8	5%	85%	10%	100%
	•		,	•

Part II: Student Achievement and State Academic Annual Measureable Objectives (AMOs)

This section provides the STAAR performance results for each subject area tested in the 2015-16 school year. These results only include tested students who were in the accountability subset. This section also includes four-year and five-year graduation rates and participation rates on STAAR for reading and mathematics.

Overall Total	Total Federal Cap Limit	Number Proficient	Alternate 1%	Mathematics	Total Federal Cap Limit	Number Proficient	Alternate 1%	Reading	District: Met Federal Limits on Alternative Assessments	Total	Reason Code ***	Graduation Target Met	Federal Graduation Status (Target: See Reason Codes)	Total	Mathematics	Reading	Participation Status Target	Mathematics	Reading	Performance Status - Federal Federal Target	Total	Social Studies	Science	Writing	Mathematics	Reading	State Target	Performance Status - State		
	n/a	n/a	Z⁄a		η	ηVa	ηVa		native Assessm		D)	~	See Reason Cod		~	~	B	z	z	87%		~	~		~	~	60%		뭆	All
									ants			٠	8			1	95%			87%							60%		American Hispanic	African
											9	<b>~</b>			~	~	95%	z	z	B7%		~	<b>~</b>		<b>~</b>	z	60%		Hispanic	
											20	~			~	~	95%	z	z	87%		~	<b>~</b>		<b>~</b>	~	60%		White	
																!	95%	n/a	n∕a								60%		Indian	American
																;	95%	n∕a	n/a								60%		Asian	
																	\$ \$	π/a	n/a								60%		Islander	Pacific
																	95%	ηVa	n∕a								60%		Races	Two or
											9	<b>~</b>			~	~	95%	z	z	87%		~	~		<b>~</b>	z	60%	i	Disadv	Econ
															~	<b>~</b>	95%	z	z	87%					z	z	60%		Ξ,	Special
																				87%							60%		Ed Monitored) +	ELL (Cument &
																	95%	n/a	n/a											
28										4		4		ō	cn	CTI					14	4	4	0	4	2				Total
32										4		4		10	C)	CII					18	4	4	0	යා	<b>C</b> TI			ligible N	Total
88	_									100		100		100	100	6					78	100	100		80	40			Eligible Measures Met	Percent of

indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.
 indicates zero observations reported for this group.
 inal indicates data reporting is not applicable for this group.
 indicates that the data for this item were statistically improbable, or were reported outside a reasonable range.

Participation uses ELL (Current), Graduation uses ELL (Ever HS)     Federal Graduation Rate Reason Codes:	All African Students American Hispanic White
	American Indian
	Asian
	Pacific Islander
	Two or More Races
	Econ Disady
	Special Ed
	ELL (Current & ELI Monitored) +
	Total Met
	Total Eligi Eligible Measur
	es Met

a = Graduation Rate Goat of 90%

b = Four-year Graduation Rate Target of 88%

d = Five-year Graduation Rate Target of 88%

Blank cells above represent student group indicators that do not meet the minimum size criteria.

Na Indicates the student group is not applicable to System Safeguards.

			ħ	Ţ			$\neg$
Graduation Rate	Total in Class	Number Graduated	year Longitudinal Cohort Graduation Rate (Gr 9-12): Class of 2015	deral Graduation Rates			
97.3%	73	71	ate (Gr 9-12): C		Students	All	
•	•	•	lass of 2015		American	African	
94.4%	36	34			Hispanic		
100.0%	31	31			White		
1	•				Indian	American	
					Asian		
•	,	,			Islander	Pacific	
•	•	•			Races	More	Two or
97.4%	38	37	ì		Disadv	Econ	
100.0%	9	9	)		md	Special	
					(Ever HS)	ELL	
n/a	. 1	n/a			(Current)	ELL	

All African American Pacific More Econ Special ELL Students American Hispanic White Indian Asian Islander Races Disadv Ed (Ever HS)  Ayear Longitudinal Cohort Graduation Rate (Gr 9-12): Class of 2014  Number Graduated 80 38 39 4 42 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14
---

Source: 2016 Accountability System Safeguards Report

Part III: Priority and Focus Schools

already identified as priority schools, that have the widest gaps between student group performance and safeguard targets. Campuses are ranked based on the largest gaps between student group reading/math performance and the annual measurable objectives (AMO) target of 83%. Campuses were originally staged as priority and focus based on data from the 2013 Accountability Reports. Priority and focus schools having improved in performance and are no longer identified as improvement required for the August 2015 and 2016 ratingswill include a "Progress" label. All Priority schools are the lowest 5% of Title I served campuses based on performance in reading, mathematics and graduation rates. Priority schools include Tier I or Tier II TTIPS schools, campuses with graduation rates less than 60%, and lowest achieving campuses based on All Students reading/math performance. Focus schools are 10% of Title I served campuses, not schools that do not meet that criteria will remain identified as priority or focus.

Priority School Identification: No Priority School Reason: N/A Focus School Reason: N/A

A high-performance reward school is identified as a Title I school with distinctions based on reading and math performance. In addition, at the high school level, a reward school is a Title I school with the highest graduation rates. A high progress school is identified as a Title I school in the top 25% in annual improvement; and/or a school in the top 25% of those demonstrating ability to close performance gaps based on system safeguards. The reward school identifications provided are for the 2015-2016 school year. Identifications for the 2016-2017 school year are pending.

High Performing School: No High Progress School: No

Source: TEA Division of School Improvement and Support

Part IV: Teacher Quality Data

Part IV A: Percent of Teachers by Highest Degree Held
Professional qualifications of all public elementary and secondary school teachers in the State of Texas. The distribution of degrees attained by teachers are shown as the percent of total Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) count of teachers with no degree, bachefor's, master's, and doctorate degrees.

2000				
0.6%	1.0%	3.1%	1.0	Doctorate
23.6%	18.5%	28.2%	9.1	Masters
74.7%	80.5%	68.7%	22.1	Bachelors
1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0	No Degree
Percent	Percent			
State	District	Percent	Number	
		-	Campus	

Part IV B and C: Teachers with Emergency/Provisional Credentials, Highly Qualified (HQ) Teachers Low Poverty/ High Poverty Summary Reports
The percentage of all public elementary and secondary school teachers teaching with emergency or provisional credentials, and the percentage of classes in the state not taught by highly qualified teachers disaggregated by high-poverty compared to low-poverty schools. For this purpose, high-poverty means schools in the top quartile of poverty and low-poverty means the bottom quartile of poverty in the state.

## Core Academic Subject Areas

4.80%	0.00%	4.84%	Percent	
	0	6.10%	Number	Number of Classes Taught by Not Highly Qualified Teachers
25 20% 119	1 1	118	Number	Number of Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers
	_	124		Total Number of Classes
	4	17	0.00 0.00	Total Number of Teachers
	Education	Education		
	Special	General		

Number of Core Academic Teachers Who Are Teaching on the Following Permits

0	0	Temporary
	. 0	District Teaching
	0	Temporary Classroom Assignment
	0	Non-renewable
	0	Emergency (for uncertified personnel)
. 0	0	Emergency (for certified personnel)
(7-12)	(PK-6)	
secondary	Elem	
achers ———	Number of Teachers —	

Number of Core Academic Teachers with a Probationary Certificate Enrolled in an Alternative Certification

Highly Qualified Not Highly Qualified	
0	
20	Special Education

	General Education	Special Education
	General Education	Special Educati

Source: TEA Division of Federal and State Education Policy

Part V: Graduates Enrolled in Texas Institution of Higher Education (IHE)

This section provides the percentage of students who enroll and begin instruction at an institution of higher education in the school year (fall or spring semester) following high school graduation. The rate reflects the percent of total graduates during the 2012-13 school year who attended a public or independent college or university in Texas in the 2013-14 academic year.

56.9%	59.2%	59.2%	2012-13
57.5%	39.0%	39.0%	2013-14
State	District	Campus	Year Enrolled in Higher Education

Source: Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board

Part VI: Statewide National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) Results

The most recent NAEP results for Texas are provided showing statewide reading and mathematics performance results and participation rates, disaggregated by student group.

## State Level: 2015 Percentages at NAEP Achievement Levels

Grade Grade 4
Grade 4
Grade 8

Source: TEA Division of Student Assessment

													Ĭ	Grade
								Mathematics						Subject
National School Lunch Program	English Language Leamers	Students with Disabilities	White	Hispanic	Black	Asian	American Indian	Overall	National School Lunch Program	English Language Leamers	Students with Disabilities	White	Hispanic	Student Group
34	60	62	12	33	43	O1	n/a	25	36	71	70	14	35	% Below Basic
66	40	38	88	69	57	95	n/a	75	64	29	30	86	65	% At or Above Basic
20	6	00	48	23	16	67	ηZa	32	18	2	IJ	43	19	% At or Above Proficient
ш	n/a	_	12	4	2	25	₽a	7	_	n/a	n/a	4	_	% At or Above Advanced

State Level: 2015 Participation Rates for Students with Disabilities and Limited English Proficient Students

Grade	Subject	Student Group	%
Grade 4	Reading	Students with Disabilities Limited English Proficient	72 92
	Mathematics	Students with Disabilities Limited English Proficient	95 95
Grade 8	Reading	Students with Disabilities Limited English Proficient	95 95
	Mathematics	Students with Disabilities Limited English Proficient	81 90